UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Abraham Castillo-Emilian

Amended Judgment in a Criminal Case - Reason:

(For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

Correction of Sentence for Clerical Mistake (Fed.R.Cr.P. 36)

Case Number: 1:14CR03671-001-JB

USM Number: 38771-380

Defense Attorney: Henry de la Garza, Appointed

THE DEFEN	IDANT:		-	
	I guilt to violations of condition(s) Standa and in violation of condition(s) after denial	_		
The defendan	nt is adjudicated guilty of these violations:			
Violation Number	Nature of Violation		Violation Ended	
Standard Condition	The defendant shall not commit another	er federal, state or local crime	8/5/2014	
The defendan Reform Act o	nt is sentenced as provided in pages 1 through f 1984.	gh 3 of this judgment. The senter	nce is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing	
☐ The defe	endant has not violated condition(s) and is	s discharged as to such violation(s).	
name, resider	nce, or mailing address until all fines, restitu	ation, costs, and special assessme	or this district within 30 days of any change of ents imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If of material changes in economic circumstances.	
None		November 6, 2014		
Last Four Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.		Date of Imposition o	Date of Imposition of Judgment	
1986		/s/ James O. Browning		
Defendant's Year of Birth		Signature of Judge	Signature of Judge	
		Honorable James C United States Distri	•	
City and Stat	te of Defendant's Residence		ıdaa	
		Name and Title of Ju	lage	
		Name and Title of Ju November 24, 2014		

Case 1:14-cr-03671-JB Document 12 Filed 11/25/14 Page 2 of 3

AO 245D (Rev. 12/10) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations Sheet 2 Imprisonment

Judgment Page 2 of 3

Defendant: **Abraham Castillo-Emilian** Case Number: **1:14CR03671-001-JB**

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 4 months.

Said term shall run concurrently to sentence imposed in District of New Mexico Case No. 2:14CR03179-001 JB.

A term of supervised release is not reimposed.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 4 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act

	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at on as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.
	RETURN
I hav	e executed this judgment as follows:
Defe	ndant delivered onto
	at at with a certified copy of this judgment.
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Ву

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL